1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ELLIS CLAY HOLLIS, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-04-2574 MCE GGH P 12 VS. 13 DAVID L. RUNNELS, Warden, Respondent. 14 ORDER 15 16 Petitioner's counsel has requested a third extension of time to file an amended 17 petition pursuant to the court's order of February 1, 2005. Petitioner's August 17, 2005 request 18 is not made in accordance with the local rules in that the request does not include a proposed 19 order emailed in wordprocessing format. In order for the court to consider petitioner's counsel's 20 request, counsel must comply with Local Rule 5-137(b). 21 This is the second time petitioner has failed to be in full compliance with the 22 Local Rules in filing/emailing a proposed order. See Order filed on April 25, 2005. Should this 23 occur again, the request will simply be denied. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 Dated: 8/24/05 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows 26 GREGORY G. HOLLOWS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ggh:009 holl2574.ord2